

EFFICACY OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA VERSUS STEROID INJECTION IN PLANTER FASCIITIS

Usama Bin Saeed,¹ Talha Bin Saeed,² Sundus Tariq,³ Rizwan Ahmad¹

ABSTRACT

Background: Plantar fasciitis is local inflammation and fibrosis of the plantar aponeuroses reducing the quality of life and productivity of affected individuals. Pain in the heel and bottom of the foot is the ultimate outcome. **Objectives:** To compare the effect of platelet rich plasma and steroid injections among patients having planter fasciitis. **Methodology:** This Quasi experimental study was conducted at the Department of Orthopedic Surgery at Allied and DHQ Hospital, Faisalabad from 1st July 2015 to 30th June 2017. Total of 28 patients with age range of 25 -60 years were included in the study. 14 patients received platelet rich plasma (PRP) injection (GROUP A) and 14 were treated with a steroid injection (GROUP B) and were followed for the outcome at 0, 6 and 24 weeks to assess mean American Foot & Ankle score and Visual Analogue score. The data was entered in and analyzed by using SPSS version 20. **Results:** Our study showed that mean AFAS was 80 ± 4 , at 6 weeks and 91 ± 1.6 at 24 weeks in the group A and 71.4 ± 3.7 and 80 ± 3.2 , respectively, in the group B. ($p < 0.001$) The mean VAS 14 the group A was (2.7 ± 0.6 and 1 ± 0.3) and the group B (3 ± 1.1 and 2.1 ± 0.9) at the 6th week and 24 weeks was statistically significant. ($p < 0.001$). **Conclusion:** Plasma Rich Protein appears to be better as compared to steroid injection in terms of pain relief in the treatment of plantar fasciitis.

Key words: Plantar fasciitis, platelet-rich plasma, Steroid, Pain

INTRODUCTION

Plantar fasciitis usually bilateral is an inflammation and fibrosis of the plantar aponeuroses, resulting in pain at head and bottom of foot.^{1,2,3} This pain starts at beginning of day and usually slow in onset.^{4,5,6,7} Plantar fasciitis, mostly is a self-limiting condition.⁴ The patient and the physician feels bothered by this process and its management, however due to the natural course of the disease, most of treatment options are nonsurgical, including : stretching exercises, splints, orthoses, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs, steroid injections, and extracorporeal shockwave therapy among. Their are the conservative treatment options.^{5,6,7,8} Today, there is in fact no convincing conservative treatment option to alleviate the disease.

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP), with its growth factors contained in the platelets promotes natural healing process through. PRP in the damaged area speeds up the healing, decreases pain and has anti-inflammatory effects.^{9,10,11} The reason for using PRP in this degenerative disease is meant to initiate the cellular cascade process that stops due to inadequate conservative methods, therefore converting the chronic injury to a new and fresh damage.^{12,15}

In plantar fasciitis resistant to all these modalities, local steroid injections are sometimes used.^{14,15}

The objective of this study was to assess the effect of PRP on pain compare the results with that of steroid injection which is being applied as common treatment.

METHODOLOGY

This Quasi experimental study was conducted at Allied Hospital, Faisalabad from 1st July 2015 to 30th June 2017. Approval was sought by the hospital ethical committee and informed verbal consent was taken from all participating in the study. Patients who were diagnosed as having plantar fasciitis, were followed up for 6 months. Diagnosis of plantar fasciitis was made by clinical and X-rays were used to examination were assessed to rule out other disorders. Exclusion criteria was any systemic disease, pregnancy, active malignancy or blood dyscrasias, sepsis, anticoagulant use, NSAIDs use in the five days before the study, thrombocyte count less than $150,000/\text{mm}^3$, prior steroid injection to the heel, a calcaneal fracture,

A total of 28 patients were included in this study. Patients were divided into Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) (Group A) and steroid group B of 14 each injected following a technique mentioned by Anita et al.⁹ 3.2% sodium citrate was added to the 30-35 cc blood drawn from the antecubital vein. Blood samples were spun with a centrifuge machine at 1800 rpm for 8 minutes at room temperature, and

1. Orthopaedics Department, Allied Hospital Faisalabad, Pakistan.

2. Surgery Department, Allied Hospital Faisalabad, Pakistan

3. Paeds Department, Allied Hospital Faisalabad, Pakistan

Correspondence: Dr. Usama Bin Saeed, Orthopedics Department, Allied Hospital, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Phone: +92-332-6587332

E-mail: osamabinsaeed@hotmail.com

Received: 20-10-2017

Accepted: 02-11-2017

was sent to the hospital laboratory for micro-organisms detection and platelet count. After activation, 2.5 ml of PRP containing 5.5% calcium chloride (Cl₂Ca) was administered on the foot from the medial side to the most tender location by feeling under aseptic measures in Group A. 40 mg of methylprednisolone and 1 ml of local anesthetic was applied in steroid (Group B). Achilles and plantar fascia stretches were advised to the patients. Patients were counselled to have rest for one day after treatment. The American Foot and Ankle Score (AFAS)¹⁰ and the visual analog scale (VAS) were used in the clinical assessment. Pain, Data was analyzed by computer software SPSS version 20. Continuous variables like age and pain were analyzed as mean \pm SD and compared by using independent t-test. Variables like sex were presented as percentages and compared by using chi-square test. Statistical significance was taken as P value of ≤ 0.05 .

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics are shown in table I. In group A 8 were females and in Group B 7 were females. In group A (PRP), AFAS score was 80.5 ± 4.2 at the 6th week and 91.3 ± 1.6 at the 6th month follow-up. Whereas VAS score was 2.7 ± 0.6 at the 6th week and 1 ± 0.3 at the 6th month. In the steroid group (Group B), AFAS score was 71.4 ± 3.7 at the 6th week and 80.3 ± 3.2 at the 6th month follow-up VAS scores was 3 ± 1.1 and 2.1 ± 0.9 at the 6th week and 6th month follow-up, (Table II). No complication was reported in either group.

Table I: Comparison of Both Groups at Baseline

	PRP (n=14) (Group A) (Mean \pm SD)	STEROID (n=14) (Group B) (Mean \pm SD)	P Value
Age	49 \pm 12	51 \pm 61	≥ 0.05
AFAS	60.8 \pm 8.5	58.1 \pm 1.7	≥ 0.05
VAS	7.8 \pm 1	8.1 \pm 0.9	≥ 0.05

Table II: Comparison of both groups at baseline, 6 weeks & 6 months

Pain Scales	PRP group (Group A) (n=14) (Mean \pm SD)	Steroid group (Group B) (n=14) (Mean \pm SD)	P Value
AFAS			
Baseline	60.8 \pm 8.5	58.1 \pm 1.7	≥ 0.05
6th week	80.5 \pm 4.2	71.4 \pm 3.7	≤ 0.001
6th month	91.3. \pm 1.6	80.3 \pm 3.2	< 0.001
VAS			
Baseline	7.8 \pm 1	8.1 \pm 0.9	≥ 0.05
6th week	2.7 \pm 0.6	3 \pm 1.1	< 0.001
6th month	1 \pm 0.3	2.1 \pm 0.9	< 0.001

DISCUSSION

Among the multiple treatment options available for planter fasciitis, none is considered gold standard yet. A study showed that pain was significantly improved in patients treated with platelet rich plasma compared with steroids. ($P = < .001$).¹¹ Mean post-operative pain according to Visual analogue score for steroids treated patients was 4.6 ± 0.8 and for patients treated with platelet rich plasma it was 1.5 ± 0.6 while in another study mean post-operative pain was $5.6 \pm .5$ in steroid group and 1.8 ± 0.7 in platelet rich plasma group. The functional outcome was markedly improved among platelet rich plasma treated patients as compared to the steroid group.¹²

A short term relief is seen with the use of steroids. In current study, we found a remarkable effect on pain in both groups and better in PRP group. Our study showed that no complication was found in any group. However, studies showed that steroid injections are associated with tear in fascia,¹⁴ atrophy of fat pad, sepsis,¹⁵ and osteomyelitis.¹⁶ Platelet-rich plasma activates cellular repair in circulation. Alpha granules of platelets contain more than 30 proteins.¹⁷ PRP also is fraught with growth factors, i.e; transforming growth factor, insulin-like growth factor, and proteins. These growth factors exhibit a role in many stages of cellular repair. PRP activates the local stem cells and activities the repair cells with these growth factors. PRP offers revascularization by growth factors to increase tenocyte production in the injured area which increases expression of collagen in the tenocytes.¹⁸ Multiple ways can be utilized to get PRP; we followed the one described by Anitua et al.⁹ Platelet concentration higher than normal platelet count was proved to be the most efficacious in another study.¹⁹ Ideal concentration of PRP is still not decided and there is no consensus on it. Same is true for frequency of application or platelet activation.

Few studies using ultrasound measured the thickness of the plantar fascia 9 and after the treatment decreased thickness of the plantar fascia was observed and patients were free of symptoms after follow up.^{18,19} However, due to steroid side effects, Platelet Rich Plasma was preferred. Other studies observed that plantar fascia thickness reduced on USG applying PRP to 25 patients and 88% of the patients were free of symptoms after follow-up of about one year.^{20,21} In evaluation of the results of current study, the post-treatment 6th week and 6th month, the pain scores as measured in terms of AFAS and VAS were markedly reduced. The improvement in the pain scores of the PRP group were clearly

superior to those of the steroid group.

In this study, a complete recovery was determined at follow up period of 24 weeks, it may be possible that its effects still continue. For PRP obtained from the same patients blood, there is no risk of any reaction. We did not notice any significant complication in the PRP group. Lack of randomization limits the results of this study. Moreover, small sample size and comparatively shorter period of follow-up was limitation of this study.

CONCLUSION

Our study showed that platelets rich plasma is more effective than steroid in the treatment of planter Fasciitis. We recommend studies with longer follow up and larger sample size.

REFERENCES

1. Ferhat SAY, Deniz Gürler, Erkan Inkaya, Murat Bülbül. Comparison of platelet-rich plasma and steroid injection in the treatment of plantar fasciitis, *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc* 2014;48(6):667-672
2. Kumar V, Millar T, Murphy PN, Clough T. The treatment of intractable plantar fasciitis with platelet-rich plasma injection. *Foot (Edinb)* 2013;23:74-7.
3. O'Malley MJ, Vosseller JT, Gu Y. Successful use of platelet-rich plasma for chronic plantar fasciitis. *HSS J*. 2013;9:129-33.
4. Kim E, Lee JH. Autologous platelet-rich plasma versus dextrose prolotherapy for the treatment of chronic recalcitrant plantar fasciitis. *PM R*. 2013;6(2):152-8.
5. Monto RR. Platelet-rich plasma efficacy versus corticosteroid injection treatment for chronic severe plantar fasciitis. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2014;35:313-8.
6. Jain K, Murphy PN, Clough TM. Platelet rich plasma versus corticosteroid injection for plantar fasciitis: A comparative study. *Foot (Edinb)* 2015;25:235-7.
7. Anitua E. Plasma rich in growth factors: preliminary results of use in the preparation of future sites for implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1999;14:529-35
8. Kitaoka HB, Alexander IJ, Adelaar RS, Nunley JA, Myerson MS, Sanders M. Clinical rating systems for the anklehindfoot, midfoot, hallux, and lesser toes. *Foot Ankle Int* 1994 Jul;15(7):349-53
9. Shery NA, Hammad MA, Hagrass HA, Samir H, Abu-ElMaaty SE, Mortad MA. Local injection of autologous platelet rich plasma compared to corticosteroid treatment of chronic plantar fasciitis patients: A clinical and ultrasonographic follow-up study. *Egypt Rheumatologist*. 2015
10. Shetty VD, Dhillon M, Hegde C, Jagtap P, Shetty S. A study to compare the efficacy of corticosteroid therapy with platelet-rich plasma therapy in recalcitrant plantar fasciitis: A preliminary report. *Foot Ankle Surg*. 2014;20:10-3.
11. Wilson JJ, Lee KS, Miller AT, Wang S. Platelet-rich plasma for the treatment of chronic plantar fasciopathy in adults: A case series. *Foot Ankle Spec*. 2014;7:61-7.
12. Say F, Gürler D, Inkaya E, Bülbül M. Comparison of platelet-rich plasma and steroid injection in the treatment of plantar fasciitis. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc*. 2014;48:667-72.
13. Narula R, Iraqi AA, Narula K, Katyal R, Saxena MS. Comparative Study of: Non-Invasive Conservative Treatments with Local Steroid Injection in the Management of Planter Fasciitis. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2014 Sep;8(9):LC05-7.
14. Ceccarelli, F., Calderazzi, F., & Pedrazzi, G. (2014). Is there a relation between AOFAS ankle-hindfoot score and SF-36 in evaluation of Achilles ruptures treated by percutaneous technique?. *The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, 53(1), 16-21.
15. Hunt, K. J., & Hurwit, D. (2013). Use of patient-reported outcome measures in foot and ankle research. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 95(16), e118
16. League AC. Current concepts review: plantar fasciitis. *Foot Ankle Int* 2008;29:358-66.
17. Anitua E, Andia I, Ardanza B, Nurden P, Nurden AT. Autologous platelets as a source of proteins for healing and tissue regeneration. *Thromb Haemost* 2004;91:4-7
18. Barrett SL, Erredge SE. Growth factors for chronic plantar fasciitis? *Podiatry Today* 2004;17:36-42.
19. Akşahin E, Doğruyol D, Yuksel HY, Hapa O, Doğan O, Celebi L, et al. The comparison of the effect of corticosteroids and platelet-rich plasma (PRP) for the treatment of plantar fasciitis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2012;132:781-5.
20. Ragab EM, Othman AM. Platelets rich plasma for treatment of chronic plantar fasciitis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2012;132:1065-70.
21. Martinelli N, Marinozzi A, Carni S, Trovato U, Bianchi A, Denaro V. Platelet-rich plasma injections for chronic plantar fasciitis. *Int Orthop* 2013;37:839-42