#### OUTCOME OF SUCTION DRAIN AFTER EXCISION OF PILONIDAL SINUS

Muhammad Najam Iqbal,<sup>1</sup> Muhammad Asghar,<sup>1</sup> Muhammad Waseem,<sup>1</sup>Hafiz Aamir Bashir,<sup>1</sup> Noureen Kousar<sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Pilonidal sinus can present in many forms and managed by various techniques.

**Objective:** To evaluate the role of suction drainage after excision and primary closure with suction drain placement in pilonidal surgery (closed method) compared with excision and healing by secondary intention (open method).

**Methodology:** This cross sectional study was carried out on 68 patients from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2016 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2018. This study consisted of 68 patients admitted with the diagnosis of pilonidal sinus. Patients were divided into two groups. In group A patients, open method (excision of pilonidal sinus and laying open the wound to be healed by secondary intention) was used. In group B patients, closed method (excision of pilonidal sinus and primary closure of wound with suction drain) was used. Postoperatively patients were examined for wound infection, time duration for wound healing and recurrence. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 20 and p value less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

**Results**: Pilonidal sinus disease was most common among male of 15-30 years of age (63.3%). All were male patients. Postoperatively wound infection was found in 3 (8.82%) patients in group A and 4 (11.76%) in group B. Recurrence of pilonidal sinus was found in 2 (5.88%) patients in group A and in 4 (11.76%) in group B. There was no significant difference between 2 groups regarding infection and recurrence rate. There were 8 (23.52%) patients in group A, whom wounds were healed in less than 20 days whereas there were 29 (76.47%) in group B, patient whom wounds were healed in less than 20 days.

**Conclusion:** In simple pilonidal sinus, excision of sinus and primary closure over a drain is ideal treatment because it has high healing rate and less infection and recurrence rate.

Keywords: Drain, Excision, Pilonidal sinus, Primary closure

# **INTRODUCTION**

Pilonidal sinus disease (PSD) commonly affects the sacrococcygeal region and it presents in a variety of ways.<sup>1</sup> First description about pilonidal disease came in 1833 by Mayo when he described it as a hair-containing cyst located just below the coccyx. Hodge named the disease as "pilonidal" from its Latin origin in 1880. Pilonidal disease may present as an asymptomatic hair-containing cyst, sinuses and symptomatic abscess of the sacrococcygeal region and recurrence is common.<sup>2</sup> Its incidence is 26/100,000 with male preponderance especially in the third decade of life.<sup>3</sup> Young men are commonly affected and it does not occur in children which suggest that it is an acquired pathology.<sup>4</sup> It mainly affects intergluteal furrow.<sup>5</sup> It can occur at other sites like umbilicus, axilla, neck and breast.<sup>6-10</sup> Predisposing factors leading to pilonidal sinuses include hairy skin, obesity, excessive sweating, wearing a tight clothing and occupations such as barber or sitting for a long period.<sup>11</sup> The disease is diagnosed clinically on the basis of history and examination.<sup>12</sup> There are different types of operation to surgically treat the disease. Treatment

is aimed at excision of the sinus tract, healing of the wound by laying open or primary closure, and prevention of recurrence.<sup>13</sup> The surgical treatments include: simple incision and drainage, lying open, marsupialization, excision and primary closure or rhomboid excision and Limberg flap procedure.<sup>14,15</sup> Most commonly performed procedures are primary wound closure are wound healing by secondary intention, after excision of pilonidal sinus.15,16 Hematoma/seroma formation in closed method (sinus excision and primary closure) leads to wound infection and dehiscence. If hematoma/seroma formation is avoided, results of surgery can be better. We did comparative study on outcome like infection, recurrence and duration of healing in two methods. Closed method- excision of sinus and wound closure with suction drain placement and Open methodexcision of sinus and laying open the wound to be healed by secondary intention.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

This comparative study was carried out in Department of Surgery at Sheikh Zayed Medical College, Rahim Yar Khan over a period of 2 years from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2016 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2018. This study

<sup>1.</sup> Department of Surgery, Sheikh Zayed Medical College/Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan, UHS, Lahore, Pakistan.

Correspondence: Dr. Muhammad Najam Iqbal, Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Sheikh Zayed Medical College/Hospital, Raim Yar Khan, Pakistan.

Phone:
 : +92-300-9682927
 Email:
 iqbaldrnajam@gmail.com
 Received:
 05-03-2019
 Accepted:
 20-03-2019

consisted of 68 patients admitted with the diagnosis of pilonidal sinus. Patients with pilonidal abscess, recurrent or complex pilonidal sinus, osteomyelitis of sacrum and diabetes mellitus or immune-compromized were excluded from the study. Patients were divided into two groups. In group A patients, open method (excision of pilonidal sinus and laying open the wound to be healed by secondary intention) was used. In group B patients, closed method (excision of pilonidal sinus and primary closure with suction drain) was used. The surgeries were performed in spinal anesthesia.

Sinus tract was outlined by methylene blue solution. Elliptical incision made to excise the sinus. Sinus tract was excised with skin. In closed method, a drain was placed and wound was closed and suction applied to drain .In open method wound was kept open to be healed by secondary intention. Drain was removed when output was less than 5ml. Pateint was advised to use commode and avoid prolonged sitting. The patients were examined postoperatively after 1 week, 2 week, 1 month, and every 3 months thereafter for 1 year for duration of wound healing, infection and recurrence and patients who lost during the follow-upwere excluded. It was seen that whether the wound was healed in 20 days or more. This data was recorded on a proforma which included age, sex, diagnosis, method of treatment applied, and postoperative wound healing time, hematoma, infection and recurrence. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 20 and p value less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

# RESULTS

There were 68 patients who presented with pilonidal sinus. The patients were divided into 2 groups. Group A and Group B. There were all male patients. The patients were divided into 3 groups according to age. Age distribution is shown below in Table I.

Table I:	Age	Distribution	of	patients	in	both
groups						

Age	Group	Group	Total	Percentage
(years)	Α	В		
15-30	22	21	43	63.3%
31-45	10	9	19	27.9%
>45	2	4	6	8.8%
Total	34	34	68	100

Postoperatively wound infection was found in 3 (8.82%) patients in group A and 4 (11.76%) in group B as shown in table II. P value was 0.5 which indicates that there is no significant difference in infection rate of both groups.

On follow up recurrence of pilonidal sinus was found in 2 (5.88%) patients in group A and in 4 (11.76%) in group B. P value was 0.336 which indicates that there is no significant difference in infection rate of both groups. Patients were divided into 2 groups on the basis of duration of wound healing (< 20 days and >20 days). There were 8 (23.52%) patients in group A whose wounds were healed in less than 20 days whereas there were 29 (76.47%) patient group B whose wounds were healed in less than 20 days. There was significant association between type of operation and duration of healing as the p value was less than 0.05. (Table II)

Infection Rate in Both Groups						P. value	
Groups		Infection		Percentage			
Group A		3		8.82%			0.5
Group B		4		11.76%			
Total		7		10.29%			
Recurrence Rate in Both Groups							
Groups		Recurrence		Percentage			P. value
Group A		2		5.88%%			0.226
Group B		4			1.76%	0.536	
Duration of Wound Healing in Both Groups							
Groups	< 2	0 Days	% age	;	< 20 Days	% age	P. value
Group A		8	23.52	%	26	23.52%	0.0001
Group B		29	85.29	%	5	85.29%	0.0001

<b>Fable II:</b>	Outcome	in botł	n groups
------------------	---------	---------	----------

Pvalue=0.5

# DISCUSSION

Pilonidal disease is a common, chronic intermittent disorder of the sacrococcygeal region. There are multiple surgical options but no one is ideal. Various surgical procedures have been advocated including excision and packing with or without marsupilisation, excision and primary closure with and without suction drain and excision with flaps such as Karydakis, V–Y advancement and Limberg.<sup>17, 18</sup> Different studies show that in excision and primary closure healing is rapid and morbidity is less as compared to open method.<sup>19,20,21</sup>

In simple excision and healing by secondary intention, healing is prolonged morbidity is more in

the form of wound discharge and painful dressings.<sup>22,23</sup>

In primary closure, healing is rapid and this is achieved by prevention of sepsis and hematoma formation. The use of suction drainage has been shown to be effective in preventing these complications.<sup>24</sup> So in this study we compared surgical treatment by open method and closed method with suction drain.

Age range in our study was from 20-50 years with mean age of  $23.32 \pm 7.74$  years. Patients of Pilonidal disease commonly present in the third decade of their life. It is rarely seen in individuals of more than 40 years of age. The average age of presentation is 21 years for men.<sup>25</sup> Israr M et al<sup>1</sup> and Ghnnam W et  $al^{26}$  in their studies had found the mean age of 24 & 23 years respectively which is comparable to our study. Similarly, Tolba AM et al<sup>15</sup> and Nile AK et al<sup>27</sup> in their studies had also reported mean age of 24 & 25 years respectively.

In our study, there was no female patient and all were the male patients. These results coincide with results of many previous studies which have also shown the male predominance in pilonidal sinus disease.<sup>27</sup>

Wound infection and recurrence are the most common complications after pilonidal surgery. In our study, recurrence rate in Group A, (open method) was 5.88% while in Group B (close method) was 11.76 %. Although recurrence rate was high in group B but it was not significantly high. This recurrence rate is comparable to one study conducted by Ibrahim HH et al<sup>28</sup> in which recurrence rate of pilonidal sinus after open method was 6.0% and after closed method was 10.0%. Rind GH et al<sup>29</sup> has shown a significant difference in recurrence rate between open versus closed method for pilonidal sinus disease i.e. 2.33% versus 16.28% respectively. Tolba AM et al<sup>15</sup> in his study has shown equal recurrence rate after open versus close method (statistically not significant) for pilonidal sinus diseases treatment. High infection rate has been reported after primary closure.<sup>19,20</sup> Many procedures were done to decrease the recurrence and infection rate. Randolph S Williams reported a seriesc of 31 patients whom he operated for sacrococcy gealpilonidal sinus.<sup>24</sup> These patients underwent excision and primary closure of the sinus over a suction drain. The mean operative time was 35 minutes. The results were excellent with markedly decreased rate of local wound complications and primary healing resulted in 28 patients.

Tritapepe R, Di Padova C published a series of 243 patients who were treated by excision and primary closure ofpilonidal sinus over a suction drain.<sup>30</sup> Healing was by primary intention in all the cases and no recurrence was seen in 5-15 years of follow up. In our study, there was no significant difference in infection rate and recurrence rate between the 2 groups but the healing rate was significantly high in group B (76.47%) and (14.71%) in group A. Most of wounds in group B were healed within 20 days. Healing rate was significantly high in patients of group B as shown in some studies.<sup>20,21</sup> This is also according to a study conducted by McCallum Peter M King and Julie Bruce.<sup>14</sup>

# CONCLUSION

In simple pilonidal sinus, excision of sinus and primary closure over a drain is ideal treatment because it has high healing rate and less infection and recurrence rate.

Authors Contribution: MNI: Idea Generation and Article writeup. MA: Data Collection. HAB: Data analysis and interpretation. All authors critically revised and approved its final version.

**Conflict of Interest**: None

#### Sources of Funding: None

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Israr M, Yar W, Ullah N, Ali M, Saeeda. Outcome of excision and primary closure of pilonidal sinus disease. Pak J Surg 2013;29(4):285-8.
- 2. Hull TL, Wu J. Pilonidal disease. Surg Clin North Am. 2002;82(6):1169-85.
- 3. Onder A, Girgin S, Kapan M, Toker M, Arikanoglu Z, Palanci Y, et al. Pilonidal Sinus Disease: risk factors for postoperative complications and recurrence. Int Surg. 2012;97(3):224-9.
- 4. Kosaka M, Kida M, Mori H, Kamiishi H. Pilonidal cyst of the scalp due to single minor trauma. Dermatologic Surg. 2007;33(4):505-7.
- 5. Perruchoud C, Vuilleumier H, Gvel JC. pilonidal sinus: How to choose between excision and open granulation versus excision and primary closure? Swiss Surg. 2002;8(6):255-8.
- 6. Abdelnour A, Aftinos G, Elmasri H. Conservative surgical treatment of 27 cases of umbilical pilonidal sinus. J Med Lebanon. 1994;42:123-5.
- 7. Patel MR, Bassini L, Nashad R, Anselmo MT. Barber's inter-digital pilonidal sinus of the hand: a foreign body hair granuloma. J Hand Surg Am. 1990;15:652-5.
- 8. Ohtsuka H, Arashiro K, Watanabe T. Pilonidal sinus of the axilla: report of five patients and review of literature. Ann Plast Surg. 1994;33:322-4.

- 9. Miyata T, Toh H, Doi F, Torisu M. Pilonidal sinus of the neck. Surg Today. 1992;22:379-382
- Cima RR. In: Townsend CM, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL, eds. Sabiston Textbook of Surgery; Philadelphia, PA: Saunders Elsevier. 18th ed, Volume 2. 2008:1449-1450.
- Lunnis PJ. The Anus and anal canal. In: Williams NS, Bulstrode CJS. O'Connel PR, eds. Bailey and Love's Short Practice of Surgery, 25th ed. London Arnold: 2008:1247-1249.
- Nelson J, Billingham R. Pilonidal disease and hidradenitis suppurativa. In: The ASCRS Textbook of Colon and Rectal Surgery. New York: Springer; 2007:228–35.
- 13. Chinn BT. Outpatient management of pilonidal disease. Semin Colon Rectal Surg. 2003;14:166–72.
- 14. McCallum IJD, King PM, Bruce J. Healing by primary closure versus open healing after surgery for pilonidal sinus: systematic review and meta-analysis. Br Med J. 2008;336;868-71.
- 15. Tolba AM, El-wahsh M, Abd-elpaset A. A prospective randomized study comparing open technique with closed technique using different types of flap coverage in chronic pilonidal disease. Zagazig Uni Med J. 2012;18(3):527-30.
- 16. Hemmati HR, Ghorbani R, Torshizi EN. Recurrence rate in the pilonidal sinus after excision with or without primary closure. J Semnan Uni Med Sci. 2013;15(1):78-82.
- 17. Milind M. R, Wojtek Z, Raymond K, Robert G. A prospective randomised study comparing two treatment modalities for chronic pilonidal sinus with a 5-year follow-up: Int J Colorectal Dis. 2010;25(3):395-400.
- Aldemir M, Kara IH, Erten G. Effectiveness of collagenase in the treatment of sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus disease. Surg Today. 2003;33(2):106–9.
- 19. Mahdy T Surgical treatment of the pilonidal disease: primary closure or flap reconstruction after excision.

Dis Colon Rectum 2008:51:1816–1822

- 20. Khaira HS, Brown JH Excision and primary closure of pilonidal sinus. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1995:77:242–244
- 21. Brasel KJ, Gottesman L, Vasilevsky CA Members of the Evidence-Based Reviews in Surgery Group. Meta-analysis comparing healing by primary closure and open healing after surgery, for pilondidal sinus. JAM coll surg.2010. 211(3):431-4
- 22. Khalid K. Outcome of surgery for chronic natal cleft pilonidal sinus: A randomized trial of open compared with closed technique. JCPSP. 2001;11:32-5
- 23. Chiedozi LC, Al-Rayyes FA, Salem MM, Al-Haddi FH, Al-Bidewi AA. Management of pilonidal sinus. Saudi Med J. 2002;23:786-8.
- 24. Williams RS. A simple technique for successful primary closure after excision of pilonidal sinus disease. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 1990;72(5):313-5.17.
- 25. Doll D, Friederichs J, Dettmann H, et al. Time and rate of sinus formation in pilonidal sinus disease. Int J Colorectal Dis. Apr 2008;23(4):359-64.
- 26. Ghnnam W, Ghazy H, Saed B, Farid M. Limited excision and primary closure for pilonidal sinus. Egyptian J Surg. 2009;28(1):43-7.
- Nile AK, Abdul-Hassan BA, Ali AA. Open versus closed methods in treatment of pilonidal sinus disease. Iraqi J Med Sci. 2011;9(2):114-9.
- 28. Ibrahim HH. Pilonidal sinus: comparative study-open or close procedure. Duhok Med J 2009;3(1):45-50.
- 29. Rind GH, Ayoob M, Sangri AM, Sohu KM, Shah AA. Surgical management of natal cleft pilonidal sinus: primary midline closure versus lay open technique. J Modern Med Dental Sci. 2012;18:425.
- 30. Tritapepe R, Padova DC. Excision and primary closure of pilonidal sinus using a drain for antiseptic wound flushing. Am J Surg. 2002;183:209-11.

Article Citation: Iqbal MN, Asghar M, Waseem M, Bashir HM, Kousar N. Outcome of suction drain after excision of pilonidal sinus. JSZMC 2019;10(1): 1591-94